# **MWAGHAVUL PRONOUNS**

# [DRAFT -PREPARED FOR COMMENT ONLY]

Roger Blench
Kay Williamson Educational Foundation
8, Guest Road
Cambridge CB1 2AL
United Kingdom
Voice/Ans 0044-(0)1223-560687
Mobile worldwide (00-44)-(0)7967-696804
E-mail rogerblench@yahoo.co.uk
http://www.rogerblench.info/RBOP.htm

This printout: July 24, 2010

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLES	2
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. MWAGHAVUL PRONOMINAL SYSTEMS	1
2.1 Subject/Object pronouns 2.2 TAM marking 2.2 Possessive pronouns 2.3 Reflexive pronouns 2.4 Logophorics: reported speech 2.5 Indefinite pronouns 2.6 Demonstratives 2.7 Vocatives 2.8 Relative pronouns 3. MAGHAVUL PRONOUNS IN CONTEXT 4. CONCLUSIONS REFERENCES	
Table 1. Subject/Object unmarked pronoun paradigm Table 2. Inclusive/exclusive plural pronoun paradigm Table 3. Mwaghavul dative pronoun paradigm Table 4. Pronoun paradigm for the completive aspect Table 5. Pronoun paradigm marked for future/incompletive	2 3 3 4 4
Table 6. Pronoun paradigm marked for progressive Table 7. Mwaghavul reflexive pronouns	3 7

# **ACRONYMS**

R Reduced TA Tense/aspect TAM Tense/aspect marking

#### 1. Introduction

African pronominal systems are often poorly served by the sketch grammars that constitute our knowledge of most languages. Multiple interacting systems, combined with complex tone changes are often passed over rapidly. Wiesemann (1986) was a useful beginning, although it covers a wide range of African languages. A forthcoming book (Storch, Blench & Atindogbe in press) focuses on the ICP phenomenon in the Nigeria-Cameroun area, but ICPs are only a small part of pronominal systems. With the exception of Hausa, the West Chadic languages of Central and Eastern Nigeria remain little studied, and certainly there are few indepth accounts of their pronominal systems, Although Caron (2006) has described the pronominal systems in South Bauchi languages.

This paper aims to remedy the situation, at least for Mwaghavul, a relatively large West Chadic language. The closest relatives of Mwaghavul are Cakfem-Mushere and Miship and it falls within the same group as Ngas and Goemai and Hausa more broadly. Mwaghavul is bordered by Plateau (i.e. Benue-Congo) languages to the north and west, notably Berom and Izere. The Mwaghavul are known as 'Sura' in much of the older literature. Mwaghavul (under the name Sura) was first described in modern linguistic terms by Jungraithmayr (1963/4). Frajyzngier (1991, 1993) has published a dictionary and grammar of the related Mupun language. Mupun, often considered a distinct language, is very close to Mwaghavul and the division may be more ethnic than linguistic. Mwaghavul has long had an orthography, which has undergone numerous revisions, as early versions under-discriminated various consonants; some comments are made in the course of the paper about earlier forms of key pronouns, mainly for the benefit of Mwaghavul speakers.

Mwaghavul is spoken in Mangu Local Government Area, Plateau State, Nigeria. The main towns of the Mwaghavul are Mangu and Panyam. There are generally estimated to be some 150,000 speakers of Mwaghavul, although such a figure is guesswork. Although there is some geographic variation, Mwaghavul does not really group into distinct dialect areas, although speakers can distinguish approximate geographical areas such as Mangun and Pushit from particular usages. Cakfem is inter-intelligible with Mwaghavul and speakers occasionally note characteristic differences between the two.

Mwaghavul has come heavily under the influence of neighbouring Plateau (i.e. Niger-Congo) languages such as Berom and Tarok and has lost many typical Chadic features, such as complex nominal plurals. The incorporation of TAM marking into pronominal morphology is characteristic of Plateau, although it is not easy to detect any direct loans. However, one aspect of Mwaghavul that is of particular interest is gendermarking, which is retained for second and third person singular virtually throughout the pronominal system. This is conserved from Chadic and indeed some forms resemble Hausa closely.

#### 2. Mwaghavul pronominal systems

## 2.1 Subject/Object pronouns

Mwaghavul marks gender on pronouns, but only for the second and third person singular. Each pronominal set also has a neuter or non-animate third person pronoun. Animals are referred to with this pronoun unless they are participants in folk-tales or there is a need to distinguish gender, for example when discussing livestock. There is no distinction between pronouns in the subject and object position. Table 1 shows the subject/object pronoun paradigm;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As part of a large-scale dictionary project (Dapiya et al. forthcoming), numerous scattered examples of pronouns were collected. This paper is a preliminary attempt to order them and explain their uses. I would like to thank Jacob Bess, who patiently wrote out numerous examples in the quest to identify the significant differences between paradigms.

Table 1. Subject/Object unmarked pronoun paradigm					
	Masc.	Red.	Fem.	Red.	non-animate
I	wán	án			
You sg.	wàghà	ghà, a	yi	wìì	
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	wùrí	rí	wùrá	rá	ni

we mu, mun you pl. wú, wún They mó, mmó

In the Mangun dialect of Mwaghavul and Cakfem ni is also applied to humans.  $m\acute{o}$  is a generalised plural marker in Mwaghavul, used to mark number in all but a very few nouns.

Each member of the singular unmarked pronoun set has a reduced form, which can generally be used interchangeably with the longer form. The full form provides prominence or focus and is often fronted in a sentence. Thus;

wán a laa Mwaghavul I am child Mwaghavul I am a Mwaghavul

but;

kàtdǎng a tu mee lwaa 6e a án a shii ni if you kill some meat then is me is leg it If you kill some meat then I will get the leg

Nonetheless, both

wàghà jì you m. came and a jì you m. came

are acceptable. Mwaghavul has another form of the second person masculine pronoun,  $gh\grave{a}$ . This is used in subject position in certain constructions, typically questions;

ghà araŋ ye?
you how are
How are you?
and;
ghà mmeen aa?
you how are
How are you?

#### sensel

In the old orthography  $gh\grave{a}$  was written ha, which was inconsistent and sometimes leads to confusion reading earlier texts.

Mwaghavul also has a set of inclusive/exclusive distinctions for plural pronouns, shown in Table 2;

Table 2.	Inclusive	/exclusive	plural	pronoun	paradigm

Table 2. Inclusive/exclusive plurar pronoun paracigm						
	I	nclusive		Exc	lusive	
we	mun		mù	mún		
you pl.	wún		wù	wún		
they	mmó		mù	mó		
		mùmún we excl. we are wo	about		ďák work	

would mean that the speaker refers to a subset of all those present, while in;

mún nkaa cìn đắk we incl. about do work we incl. are working

the speaker would include all those present. These pronouns are not marked for TAM and thus require a verbal auxiliary or a repeated pronoun to clarify the time-status of the action. The exclusive pronouns, can therefore play a role as emphatics or focus pronouns when followed by a TAM-marked pronoun. Thus;

mùmún mùù cìn dắk
we excl. we PAST do work
we, we have worked

mùmó mòò cìn đắk
they excl. they PAST do work
they, they have worked

The dative in Mwaghavul is marked by prefixing the unmarked pronoun set with a low-tone homorganic nasal.

Table 3. Mwaghavul dative pronoun paradigm

	Masc.	Fem.	non-animate
I	'nwán		
You sg.			
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	'nwùrí	'nwùrá	'nni
we	ѝmu		
you pl.	'nwún		
They	ѝто́		

For example;

a cín mèméé nwán you add another one for me add one more for me

a cín mmó nzughum you give to them freely you give [it] to them freely

Although Mwaghavul has a quite distinct set of possessive adjectives, these dative forms can also be used as equivalents. Thus;

nlɨr nwán shirt for me my shirt

## 2.2 TAM marking

The unmarked pronouns in Table 1 assume an incomplete action. Thus;

mu so mbong we went farm we went to the farm (and may not have returned)

The same sentence might also mean 'Let us go to the farm'. Similarly, unmarked pronouns may have a present continuous sense.

mu an wàghà zam we worry about you much we worry a lot about you

When Mwaghavul pronouns are marked for TA, they are either lengthened or undergo tone-change. The completive aspect is marked by lengthening the final vowel. Thus;

wàghàà jì you have come

Table 4 shows the paradigm for the completive aspect;

Table 4. Pronoun paradigm for the completive aspect

	Masc.	R	Fem.	R	non-animate
I	_	án			
You sg.			yii		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	wùrii	rii	wùraa	raa	nii
we	muu				
you pl.	wuu				
They	moo				

This pronoun set is defective in that the unmarked first person singular is absent and the reduced form is not morphologically marked. All tones are neutralised to mid on the plural pronouns.

Future aspect is marked by an -n suffix on the pronoun and an n- prefix on the verb. Table 5 shows the paradigm for the future tense;

Table 5. Pronoun paradigm marked for future/incompletive

	Masc.	R	Fem.	R	non-animate
I	wán	án			
You sg.	wàghàn		yin	wii yin	
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	wùrín	rín	wùrán	rán	nin
we	mun				
you pl.	wun				
They	món				

Thus;

wàghàn njì you sg. m. will come wùrán njì she will come

The first person singular, which already has an —n in final position, is disambiguated by the n- prefix on the verb. However, if the verb following the incompletive is a copula (or possibly any verb beginning with a vowel), the n- prefix is not realised. Thus;

mun a mbon we are on farm we are on the farm

Similarly, if a verbal auxiliary is used to mark future, then again the main verb has no prefix.

mun ndùŋ jì wàà we about to come home we are about to come home

The progressive can also be marked with the auxiliary ki. Thus;

wàghà kí jì you PROG come you are coming

However, it is common in normal speech to drop the ki, in which case the final vowel of the pronoun becomes lengthened and develops a high tone. So;

wàgháá jì you sg. m. come you are coming

Table 6 shows the paradigm for the progressive;

Table 6. Pronoun paradigm marked for progressive

	Masc.	R	Fem.	R	non-animate
I	wán	án			
You sg.				wìì	
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	wùríí	rí	wùráá	rá	nii
we	mun				
you pl.	wún				
They	mó				

## 2.2 Possessive pronouns

#### pronouns

- a daam fwagha it is your m. bag
- a daam mwàghá is equivalent
- a daam furu it is their bag

	Masc.	Fem.	Neuter
my	f <del>i</del> na		
your	fwagha	fii	
his/hers/its	f <del>i</del> ri	f <del>i</del> ra	k <del>ì</del> ni
our	funu		
your pl.	fuu, fughu		
their	furu, fuɗu		

fuu is a dialect form from Pushit

# **Possessive pronouns**

	Masc.	Fem.	Neuter
I	m <del>ì</del> ná		
You sg.	mwàghá	mìí	
3 <sup>rd</sup> . person	m <del>ì</del> rí	m <del>ì</del> rá	m <del>ì</del> ní
We	mùnú		
You pl.	mùú, mùghú		
They	mùrú, mùɗú		

mùú is a dialect form used in Pushit

a mìná it is mine

Exclusive

all mid-mid

mbina minembwagha yourmbidi hismbira hersmbunu oursmbughu yoursmbudu theirs

As with many languages in this region, there is a distinct set of bound possessives which occur only with certain kin terms. Thus;

gìnàà my sibling gìnán your sibling gìn<sup>y</sup>ín your sister (female)

**nóghón** her mother

pèèt her father (of female)

pìkyour father(by female, singular)pàkyour father(by male, singular)

## 2.3 Reflexive pronouns

Reflexive pronouns in Mwaghavul are formed with a *mù*-prefix (Table 7);

Table 7. Mwaghavul	reflexive pronouns
	Gloss

		01033
san	mùsan	myself
sak	mùsak	yourself
shin	mùshin	himself
sat	mùsat	herself
sun	mùsun	ourselves
suk	mùsuk	yourselves (inclusive)
sut	mùsut	themselves

mo sat a sut mini they said it themselves they are talking about themselves

mo le mini a nsut they put that one for themselves they set that one aside for themselves

mo jì nkaa mùsut be mo le nɨghɨn ni des they came on themselves then they put so much it plenty when it came to their turn, they assigned a great deal to themselves

You couldn't put munsut here etc.

## 2.4 Logophorics: reported speech

Not true reported speech

	Masc.	Fem.	Neuter
I	wán		
You sg.	ghà	yì	
3 <sup>rd</sup> . person	wùɗi	wùɗá	m <del>ì</del> ní
We	mùmún		
You pl.	wùwún		
They	wùɗún		

mini1 /mini/ it is

# 2.5 Indefinite pronouns

Mwaghavul has a wide range of indefinite pronouns indicating different degrees of specificity.

#### gwàr person

gwar diisi wuri a pikor person that he is foreigner that person's a foreigner

komtak

a certain person [we know who it is but we won't give their name]

a sat mun a gaak nne a komtak you say us it openly that is certain person

kóó...ye everyone, everybody, whoever, whatever, whichever, whenever

kóó we ye jì who so ever come Everybody come!

kóó we ye di tal be wu cin nwuri who so ever that ask then you pl. give him everyone who asks, you give it to him

kóó ngo diidang ye ɗi wuri jì 6e nwuri wu cin ever that he who ever which come then you pl. give him everyone who asks, you give it to him

kóó piirang ye 6e a cin mmó nzughum which time ever then it give them freely Give it freely at any time

mee

one, some, a, another

mèè ngó somebody, someone (unknown)

mèè ngó jì lap caan fina some body come take away hoe my somebody (unknown) came and took away my hoe

mee gwéé

a person Pushit dialect

as opposed to;

mèè gwàr a certain person

mèè gwar jì lap caan fina some particular person come take away hoe my a certain person came and took away my hoe

mèè mbìì, membii something

mèè mbìì 'something' also has an elided form membii with identical use and meaning;

mèè mbìì yàà nlɨr nwan some thing snag shirt my something snagged my shirt

mee dás mó some men some women

mee dás mó teer a ar some man pl. sleep on road

some men slept on the road

i.e. they had to stay the night when coming here

mèméé one of them, another one, extra one

a cin mèméé nwan you add another one for me add one more for me

a le mèméé di ki you add one more on it add one extra

Where the sentence has two clauses and *mèméé* is repeated it is reduced to *mèè* on the second occurrence;

a mang mèméé ku a yit mèè di nwan you take one ? you leave one for me

## 2.6 Demonstratives

díísí that one díísí this one

mini [mo] that one

add mo to make a plural. plus use a plural verb.

**mbìì s**í pron. /mbì:-sí/ that thing

#### 2.7 Vocatives

fii you, (reference to female)

ìnàà Name by which women of the my friend, my dear one, when women are close

same age/peer group call

themselves

mii fina my relation

mwól (of male) same father but half-brother

different mothers

mwòl they are of the same father but half-brother

shàk different mothers

náng (of man) your mother, note that the use of this word in this context is now considered

insulting between people of the same age, but could be used by

an old person to a younger one

pà/àpà young girl, young woman has to be said by someone much older than her

wéét both, in pairs, in twos

## 2.8 Relative pronouns

## 3. Maghavul pronouns in context

## 4. Conclusions

#### References

Burquest, D.A. 1973. A Grammar of Angas. Ph.D. University of California Press.

Caron, B. 2006. South Bauchi West pronominal and TAM systems. In B. Caron & P. Zima (eds.), *Sprachbund in the West African Sahel*. Paris & Lovain: Peeters, pp. 93-112.

Crozier, D. & Blench, R.M. 1992. *An Index of Nigerian Languages*. Edition 2. Dallas: Summer Institute of Linguistics.

Dapiya, N. Blench, R.M. & J. Bess forthcoming. A dictionary of Mwaghavul.

Frajyzngier, Z. 1991. A dictionary of Mupun. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer.

Frajyzngier, Z. 1993. *A grammar of Mupun*. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer.

Frajzyngier, Z. 1977. On the intransitive copy pronouns in Chadic. *Studies in African Linguistics*, Supplement 7: 73-84.

Hellwig Birgit in press. The Semantics of Copy Pronouns in Goemai (West Chadic).

Jungraithmayr, H. 1963/4. Die Sprache der Sura (Mwaghavul) in Nord-nigerien. *Afrika und Übersee*, 47:8-89.

Leger, Rudolf & Ulrike Zoch in press. Intransitive Copy Pronouns in Chadic.

Schuh, R.G. 1972. Aspects of Ngizim Syntax. Ph.D. dissertation, UCLA.

Seibert, U. 1998. Das Ron von Daffo (Jos-Plateau, Zentralnigeria): morphologische, syntaktische und textlinguistische Strukturen einer westtschadischen Sprache. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.

Tuller, L.A. 1997. Les ICP en Tchadique. In A. Zribi-Hertz (ed.), *Les pronoms: morphologie, syntaxe et typologie*. Vincennes: Presses Universitaires de Vincennes, pp. 213-229.

Wiesemann, Ursula (ed.) 1986. Pronominal systems. Continuum 5. Tübingen: Gunter Narr.

Wolff, Ekkehard and Ludwig Gerhardt 1977. Interferenzen zwischen Benue-Congo und Tschadsprachen; Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Suppl. III.2: 1518-1542.